arXiv:1403.2257v1 [math.DS] 10 Mar 2014

ITERATION OF POLYNOMIAL PAIR UNDER THUE-MORSE DYNAMIC

QINGHUI LIU AND YANHUI QU

Abstract. We study the behavior of a polynomial sequence which is defined by iterating a polynomial pair under Thue-Morse dynamic. We show that in suitable sense, the sequence will behave like $\{2\cos 2^n x : n \geq 1\}$. Basing on this property we can show that the Hausdorff dimension of the spectrum of the Thue-Morse Hamiltonian has a common positive lower bound for all coupling.

1. Introduction

The trace polynomials related to Thue-Morse sequence has been studied since 1980s. See especially the early works [1, 2, 3]. Let us recall the definitions. Consider the Thue-Morse substitution

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(a) = ab \\ \sigma(b) = ba. \end{cases}$$

We denote the free group generated by a, b as $\mathrm{FG}(a, b)$. Given $\lambda, x \in \mathbb{R}$, we can define a homomorphism $\tau : \mathrm{FG}(a, b) \to \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ as

$$\tau(a) = \begin{bmatrix} x-\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(b) = \begin{bmatrix} x+\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\tau(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \tau(a_n) \cdots \tau(a_1)$. Define $h_n(x) := \mathrm{tr}(\tau(\sigma^n(a)))$ (where $\mathrm{tr}(A)$ denotes the trace of the matrix A), by a direct computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x) &= x^2 - \lambda^2 - 2; \quad h_2(x) = (x^2 - \lambda^2)^2 - 4x^2 + 2; \\ h_{n+1}(x) &= h_{n-1}^2(x)(h_n(x) - 2) + 2 \quad (n \geq 2). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$\{h_n : n \geq 1\}$ is called the sequence of *trace polynomials* related to Thue-Morse sequence.

(1) motivates the following definition. Define $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ as

$$\Phi(x, y) = \left(y^2(x-2) + 2, x\right).$$

Then the recurrence relation (1) is equivalent to $(h_{n+1}, h_n) = \Phi(h_n, h_{n-1})$. We thus call Φ the *Thue-Morse dynamic*.

In general, starting from a polynomial pair (P_{-1}, P_0), we can define

$$(P_n, P_{n-1}) := \Phi^n(P_0, P_{-1}).$$

The main goal of this paper is to understand the behavior of the polynomial sequence $\{P_n : n \geq -1\}$. Let us start with one simple situation.

1.1. **A special sequence.**

We take $\lambda = 0$ and consider the sequence $\{h_n : n \geq 1\}$. In this case $h_1(x) = x^2 - 2$ and

$$h_2(x) = x^4 - 4x^2 + 2 = h_1^2(x) - 2 = h_1 \circ h_1(x).$$

By induction it is easy to show that

$$h_n(x) = \underbrace{h_1 \circ \cdots \circ h_1}_{n}(x).$$

Thus in this special case, the iterations of (h_1, h_2) are quite clear.

Observe that if $\{P_n : n \geq -1\}$ is defined by the Thue-Morse dynamic, then for any change of variable $x = \varphi(y)$, the sequence $\{Q_n = P_n \circ \varphi : n \geq -1\}$ still satisfies the Thue-Morse dynamic. Of course, now Q_n need not to be a polynomial. If we do the change of variable $x = 2\cos y$, then by a simple computation we get

$$g_n(y) := h_n(2\cos y) = 2\cos(2^n y).$$

Thus we conclude that $\{2\cos 2^n x : n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the Thue-Morse dynamic.

1.2. **General pictures.**

A natural question is that what does $\{h_n : n \geq 1\}$ look like when $\lambda \neq 0$? More generally, starting from any polynomial pair (P_{-1}, P_0), and defining P_n according to Thue-Morse dynamic, what is the behavior of P_n?

In this paper we will answer this question partly. Roughly speaking, let $Z := \{x : P_k(x) = 0 \text{ for some } k\}$, then we will show that under some mild condition, around each $x \in Z$, after suitable renormalization, the sequence $\{P_n : n \geq -1\}$ will behave like $\{2\cos 2^n x : n \geq 1\}$. We will make this precise in Section 2.

1.3. **Application to Thue-Morse Hamiltonian.**

This general result can be applied to the spectral problem of Thue-Morse Hamiltonian and give a uniform lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of the spectrum.

Let us recall the definition of discrete Schrödinger operator. Given a bounded real sequence $v = \{v(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we can define an operator $H_{\lambda,v}$ act on $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ as

$$(H_{\lambda,v}\psi)(n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + \lambda v(n)\psi(n),\ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$H_{\lambda,v}$ is called an *discrete Schrödinger operator with potential* λv; λ is called the *coupling* constant. We denote the spectrum of $H_{\lambda,v}$ by $\sigma(H_{\lambda,v})$.

We define the two-sided Thue-Morse sequence v as follows: Let σ be the Thue-Morse sbustitution, let $u = u_1u_2\cdots := \sigma^\infty(a)$. For $n \geq 1$, let $v(n) = 1$ if $u_n = a$; let $v(n) = -1$ if $u_n = b$; let $v(1-n) = v(n)$ for $n \geq 1$. The operator $H_{\lambda,v}$ with Thue-Morse sequence v is called *Thue-Morse Hamiltonian*. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for Thue-Morse sequence v and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\dim_H \sigma(H_{\lambda,v}) \geq C.$$

Remark 1.2. Axel and Peyrière([1, 2]) study the spectrum, and prove numerically that its Lesbesgue measure is zero, and the Box dimension is strictly less than 1. Then it is rigorously proven that the spectrum is a Cantor set of Lebesgue measure zero (see for example [3, 4, 11, 12]). By our best knowledge, no rigorous results about the Hausdorff dimension of the spectrum has been proven before.

Remark 1.3. By our result, the dimension property of Thue-Morse Hamiltonian is quite different from another heavily studied model – the Fibonacci Hamiltonian. Recall that the Fibonacci sequence w is defined by

$$w(n) = \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(n\alpha \mod 1), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with $\alpha = (\sqrt{5}+1)/2$. The Fibonacci Hamiltonian $H_{\lambda,w}$ is a central model in discrete Schrödinger operator. Its dimensional properties has been extensively studied, see for example [14, 10, 13, 6, 5, 7, 8]. In purticular the following property is shown in [6]:

$$\lim_{|\lambda|\to\infty} \dim_H \sigma(H_{\lambda,w}) \ln|\lambda| = \ln(1+\sqrt{2}).$$

This implies that $\dim_H \sigma(H_{\lambda,w}) \to 0$ with the speed $1/\ln|\lambda|$ when $|\lambda| \to \infty$. However by our result, the dimension of spectrum for Thue-Morse potential (i.e., $\sigma(H_{\lambda,v})$) has a uniform positive lower bound.

Remark 1.4. The rough idea of proving Theorem 1.1 is the following. Recall that $\{h_n : n \geq 1\}$ is the trace polynomial sequence related to the Thue-Morse sequence. Define

$$\Sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists n > 0, h_n(x) = 0\}.$$

It is shown in [2, 3] that $\Sigma \subset \sigma(H_{\lambda,v})$. Basing on the general picture described in Section 1.2, it is possible to construct a Cantor subset $\mathcal{C}$ of $\sigma(H_{\lambda,v})$ in a controllable fashion, then we can estimate the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{C}$, which in turn offer a lower bound for the dimension of the spectrum.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show that the polynomial sequence will behave like $\{2\cos 2^n x : n \geq 1\}$ near a base point of a germ. In Section 3, we prepare the proof of the lower bound of the spectrum. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Convergence towards $\{2\cos 2^n x : n \geq 1\}$

Given polynomial pair (f_{-1}, f_0). We recall that defining $\{f_n : n \geq 1\}$ according to $(f_n, f_{n-1}) := \Phi^n(f_0, f_{-1})$ for $n \geq 0$ is equivalent to define it according to the recurrence relation $f_{n+1} = f_{n-1}^2(f_n - 2) + 2$.

2.1. Germ of a polynomial pair.

Given polynomial pair (f_{-1}, f_0). Define $f_{n+1} = f_{n-1}^2(f_n - 2) + 2$ for $n \geq 0$. Assume $f_0(x_0) = 0$, at first we study the local behavior of f_n at x_0. Write

$$f_0(x) = f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + O((x - x_0)^2) \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(x) = f_1(x_0) + O((x - x_0)).$$

By the recurrence relation we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x) &= 2 - (2 - f_1(x_0))f_0'^2(x_0)(x - x_0)^2 + O((x - x_0)^3) \\ f_k(x) &= 2 - 4^{k-3}(2 - f_1(x_0))\left(f_0'(x_0)f_1(x_0)\right)^2 (x - x_0)^2 \\ &\quad + O((x - x_0)^3) \quad (k \geq 3). \end{aligned}$$

If moreover $f_1(x_0) < 2$ and $f_0'(x_0), f_1(x_0) \neq 0$, then

$$\rho := \sqrt{2 - f_1(x_0)}|f_0'(x_0)f_1(x_0)| > 0$$

and for $k \geq 3$

$$f_k(x) = 2 - (2^{k-3}\rho)^2(x - x_0)^2 + O((x - x_0)^3). \tag{2}$$

If we define $\tilde{f}_k(x) = f_{k+3}(x/\rho + x_0)$, then for $k \geq 0$

$$\tilde{f}_k(x) = 2 - (2^k x)^2 + O(x^3).$$

Notice that we also have

$$2\cos 2^k x = 2 - (2^k x)^2 + O(x^3).$$

Thus $\{\tilde{f}_k(x) : k \geq 1\}$ is a good candidate of polynomial sequence which converge to $\{2\cos 2^k x : k \geq 1\}$. This also motivates the following definition.

Given a polynomial pair (P_{-1}, P_0). Assume at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} P_{-1}(x) & = 2 - \frac{\rho^2}{4}(x - x_0)^2 + O((x - x_0)^3); \\ P_0(x) & = 2 - \rho^2(x - x_0)^2 + O((x - x_0)^3) \end{cases}$$

Then we say (P_{-1}, P_0) has a *ρ-germ* at x_0. x_0 is called the *base point* of the germ.

Assume (P_{-1}, P_0) has a ρ-germ at x_0. For $k \geq 1$, define

$$P_k = P_{k-2}^2(P_{k-1} - 2) + 2. \tag{3}$$

For $k \geq -1$ define

$$Q_k(x) = P_k(\frac{x}{2^k \rho} + x_0). \tag{4}$$

It is ready to show that $Q_k(x) = 2 - x^2 + O(x^3)$. Since $2\cos x = 2 - x^2 + O(x^3)$, we conclude taht $Q_k(x) = 2\cos x + O(x^3)$. Write $\Delta_k(x) = Q_k(x) - 2\cos x$, then

$$\Delta_k(x) = Q_k(x) - 2\cos x = \sum_{k \geq 3} \Delta_{k,n} x^n. \tag{5}$$

2.2. **Regular germ of polynomial pair.**

Our goal is to show that $\Delta_k(x) \to 0$ for x in any bounded interval, to achieve this we need to impose some condition on the initial pair (P_{-1}, P_0), or equivalently on (Q_{-1}, Q_0). Our condition is about the coefficients of Δ_{-1} and Δ_0. Let us do some preparation.

Given two formal series with real coefficients

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \qquad \text{and} \qquad g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n.$$

If we only concern about their coefficients, we can define the following partial order:

$$f \preceq g \Leftrightarrow a_n \leq b_n \quad (\forall n \geq 0).$$

We further define $|f(x)|^* := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| x^n$. Then it is easy to check that

$$|fg|^* \preceq |f|^* |g|^* \qquad \text{and} \quad |f + g|^* \preceq |f|^* + |g|^*.$$

Moreover if $|f|^* \preceq \tilde{f}$ and $|g|^* \preceq \tilde{g}$, then it is seen that $|fg|^* \preceq \tilde{f}\tilde{g}$. Later we will use these properties repeatedly to estimate the coefficients of certain series.

Let us go back to $\{P_k : k \geq -1\}$ discussed above. If moreover there exist $\delta > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ such that

$$|\Delta_{-1}|^*, |\Delta_0|^* \preceq \delta \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\beta^n}$$

Then we say that (P_{-1}, P_0) has a (δ, β)-*regular* ρ-*germ at* x_0. We also say that (P_{-1}, P_0) is (δ, β)-*regular at* x_0 *with renormalization factor* ρ, or simply as (δ, β)-*regular at* x_0.

With this definition, now we can state our main convergence theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume* (P_{-1}, P_0) *has a* $(1,1)$*-regular* ρ*-germ at* x_0*. Define* $(P_k)_{k\geq -1}$ *and* $\{Q_k\}_{k\geq -1}$ *according to* (3) *and* (4) *respectively. Let* $\Delta_k(x) = Q_k(x) - 2\cos x$*. Then for any* $m \geq 0$*, there exists an absolute constant* $C_m > 0$ *such that for any* $k \geq 2m+1$ *and any* $x \in [-2^{m-1}\pi, 2^{m-1}\pi]$*,*

$$|\Delta_k(x)| \leq \tilde{C}_m \alpha^k |x|^3 \leq C_m \alpha^k.$$

Remark 2.2. This theorem says that in any bounded interval, the polynomial sequence $Q_k(x)$ will converge to $2\cos x$ uniformly with exponential speed. If we define $\tilde{P}_k(x) := Q_k(2^k x)$, then the sequence $\{\tilde{P}_k(x) : k \geq 1\}$ will behave like $\{2\cos 2^k x : k \geq 1\}$ locally. On the other hand, notice that by (4), we have

$$\tilde{P}_k(x) = Q_k(2^k x) = P_k(\frac{x}{\rho} + x_0).$$

Thus $\tilde{P}_k$ is a renormalization of P_k. As a conclusion, the renormalization of the sequence $\{P_k : k \geq 1\}$ behave like $\{2\cos 2^k x : k \geq 1\}$ locally, which gives a precise version of Section 1.2.

2.3. **Convergence properties.**

We prove Theorem 2.1 at the end of this subsection. By the recurrence relation (3), we have for $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k(x) &= Q_{k-2}^2(x/4)(Q_{k-1}(x/2) - 2) + 2 \\ &= \Big(2\cos x/4 + \Delta_{k-2}(x/4)\Big)^2 \Big(2\cos x/2 - 2 + \Delta_{k-1}(x/2)\Big) + 2 \\ &= 2\cos x + (2 + 2\cos\frac{x}{2}) \cdot \Delta_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2}) + \\ &\quad \Delta_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4})\Big(4\cos\frac{x}{4} + \Delta_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4})\Big)\Big(2\cos\frac{x}{2} - 2 + \Delta_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2})\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that for $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_k(x) &= (2+2\cos\frac{x}{2})\cdot\Delta_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2}) + \\ &\quad \Delta_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4})\Big(4\cos\frac{x}{4}+\Delta_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4})\Big)\Big(2\cos\frac{x}{2}-2+\Delta_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2})\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Proposition 2.3. *Assume $\Delta_k(x) = \sum_{k\geq 3}\Delta_{k,n}x^n$ and $(\Delta_k(x))_{k\geq -1}$ satisfy (6). Fix $k \geq 0$. If there exists $0 < \delta \leq 1$ such that $|\Delta_{k-1,n}|, |\Delta_{k,n}| \leq \delta$ for any $n \geq 3$, then*

$$|\Delta_{k+1}(x)|^* \preceq \delta\left(4\frac{x^3}{2^3}+4\frac{x^4}{2^4}+9\sum_{n\geq 5}\frac{x^n}{2^n}\right).$$

Proof. We only need to prove the case $k = 1$. By (6) we write

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1(x) &= (2+2\cos\frac{x}{2})\cdot\Delta_0(\frac{x}{2}) + \\ &\quad \Delta_{-1}(\frac{x}{4})\Big(4\cos\frac{x}{4}+\Delta_{-1}(\frac{x}{4})\Big)\Big(2\cos\frac{x}{2}-2+\Delta_0(\frac{x}{2})\Big) \\ &=: (I)+\Delta_{-1}(\frac{x}{4})(II)(III),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases}(I) &= (2+2\cos\frac{x}{2})\cdot\Delta_0(\frac{x}{2}),\\ (II) &= 4\cos\frac{x}{4}+\Delta_{-1}(\frac{x}{4}),\\ (III) &= 2\cos\frac{x}{2}-2+\Delta_0(\frac{x}{2}).\end{cases}$$

Since $\delta \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(I)|^* &\preceq \left(4+2\sum_{n\geq 2}\tfrac{x^n}{n!2^n}\right)\sum_{n\geq 3}\delta\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}\\ &= 4\delta\sum_{n\geq 3}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}+2\delta\sum_{n\geq 5}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}\textstyle\sum_{k=2}^{n-3}\frac{1}{k!}\\ &\preceq 4\delta\sum_{n\geq 3}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}+2\delta\sum_{n\geq 5}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}(e-2)\\ &\preceq 4\delta\tfrac{x^3}{2^3}+4\delta\tfrac{x^4}{2^4}+6\delta\sum_{n\geq 5}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}\\ |(II)|^* &\preceq 4+4\sum_{n\geq 2}\tfrac{x^n}{n!4^n}+\delta\sum_{n\geq 3}\tfrac{x^n}{4^n}\preceq 4+2\sum_{n\geq 2}\tfrac{x^n}{4^n}\\ |(III)|^* &\preceq \tfrac{x^2}{2^2}\tfrac{2}{2!}+\sum_{n\geq 3}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}\left(\tfrac{2}{n!}+\delta\right)\preceq\tfrac{x^2}{2^2}+2\sum_{n\geq 3}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta_{-1}(\tfrac{x}{4})\times(II)|^* &\preceq \delta\textstyle\sum_{n\geq 3}\frac{x^n}{4^n}\left(4+2\sum_{n\geq 2}\frac{x^n}{4^n}\right)\\
&= 4\delta\sum_{n\geq 3}\frac{x^n}{4^n}+2\delta\sum_{n\geq 5}\frac{x^n}{4^n}(n-4)\\
&= 4\delta\tfrac{x^3}{4^3}+2\delta\sum_{n\geq 4}\tfrac{x^n}{4^n}(n-2)\\
|\Delta_{-1}(\tfrac{x}{4})\times(II)\times(III)|^* &\preceq \tfrac{x^5}{2^5}\tfrac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{n\geq 6}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}\tfrac{(n-4)\delta}{2^{n-3}}+\\
&\quad \delta\sum_{n\geq 6}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}+\delta\sum_{n\geq 7}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}\sum_{k=4}^{n-3}\tfrac{k-2}{2^{k-2}}\\
&\preceq \tfrac{x^5}{2^5}\tfrac{\delta}{2}+\tfrac{x^6}{2^6}\tfrac{5}{4}\delta+3\delta\sum_{n\geq 7}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

This prove the proposition. □

To prepare the proof for the dimension of the spectrum, we also need to study a variant of (6), i.e., for $\tilde{\Delta}_k(x)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\tilde{\Delta}_{k,n}x^n, (k\geq -1)$ there exists a constant t_0 such that for any $k\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Delta}_k(x) &= (2+2\cos\frac{x+t_0}{2})\cdot\tilde{\Delta}_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2})+\tilde{\Delta}_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4})\cdot \qquad (7)\\
&\quad \Big(4\cos\frac{x+t_0}{4}+\tilde{\Delta}_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4})\Big)\Big(2\cos\frac{x+t_0}{2}-2+\tilde{\Delta}_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2})\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4. *Assume $(\tilde{\Delta}_k(x))_{k\geq -1}$ satisfy (7). Fix $k\geq 0$. If there exist $0<\delta,\beta\leq 1$ such that $|\tilde{\Delta}_{k-1,n}|, |\tilde{\Delta}_{k,n}|\leq\delta\beta^{-n}$ for any $n\geq 0$, then*

$$|\tilde{\Delta}_{k+1}(x)|^*\preceq 152\delta\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n}.$$

Proof. We only need to prove the case $k=1$. By (7), we can write

$$\tilde{\Delta}_1(x) = (I)+\tilde{\Delta}_{-1}(\frac{x}{4}))(II)(III).$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(I) &= \Big(2+2\cos(\tfrac{x}{2}+\tfrac{t_0}{2})\Big)\cdot\tilde{\Delta}_0(\tfrac{x}{2}),\\
(II) &= 4\cos(\tfrac{x}{4}+\tfrac{t_0}{4})+\tilde{\Delta}_{-1}(\tfrac{x}{4}),\\
(III) &= 2\cos(\tfrac{x}{2}+\tfrac{t_0}{2})-2+\tilde{\Delta}_0(\tfrac{x}{2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|\cos(x+x_0)|^*=|\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\cos(x_0+n\pi/2)}{n!}x^n|^*\preceq\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{x^n}{n!}. \qquad (8)$$

Since $\delta, \beta \le 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(I)|^* &\preceq \Big(\sum_{n\ge 0} 4\tfrac{x^n}{n!2^n}\Big)\Big(\sum_{n\ge 0}\delta\tfrac{x^n}{2^n\beta^n}\Big) \preceq 4\delta\sum_{n\ge 0}\tfrac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n}\sum_{k=0}^n\tfrac{\beta^k}{k!}\\
&\preceq 4\delta e^\beta\sum_{n\ge 0}\tfrac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n} \preceq 12\delta\sum_{n\ge 0}\tfrac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n}\\
|(II)|^* &\preceq 4\sum_{n\ge 0}\tfrac{x^n}{n!4^n}+\delta\sum_{n\ge 0}\tfrac{x^n}{(4\beta)^n} = \sum_{n\ge 0}\tfrac{x^n}{4^n}\left(\tfrac{4}{n!}+\tfrac{\delta}{\beta^n}\right)\\
|(III)|^* &\preceq \sum_{n\ge 0}\tfrac{x^n}{2^n}\left(\tfrac{4}{n!}+\tfrac{\delta}{\beta^n}\right) \preceq (4+\delta)\sum_{n\ge 0}\tfrac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde\Delta_{-1}(\tfrac{x}{4})\times(II)|^* &\preceq \delta\textstyle\sum_{n\ge 0}\frac{x^n}{4^n}\sum_{k=0}^n\left[\frac{4}{k!}+\frac{\delta}{\beta^k}\right]\frac{1}{\beta^{n-k}}\\
&\preceq \delta\textstyle\sum_{n\ge 0}\frac{x^n}{(4\beta)^n}(4e^\beta+(n+1)\delta)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde\Delta_{-1}(\tfrac{x}{4})\times(II)\times(III)|^* &\preceq \delta(4+\delta)\textstyle\sum_{n\ge 0}\frac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n}\sum_{k=0}^n\frac{4e^\beta+(k+1)\delta}{2^k}.\\
&\preceq \delta(4+\delta)(8e^\beta+4\delta)\textstyle\sum_{n\ge 0}\frac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n}\\
&\preceq 140\delta\textstyle\sum_{n\ge 0}\frac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

This prove the proposition. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let (P_{-1}, P_0) be $(1,1)$-regular at x_0 with renormalization factor ρ, and $(P_k)_{k\ge -1}$ satisfy (3). Define $(Q_k)_{k\ge -1}$, $(\Delta_k)_{k\ge -1}$ and $(\Delta_{k,n})_{k\ge -1, n\ge 3}$ as in (4) and (5). Let $\alpha = 2^{-1/2}$, then for any $k \ge 1$,*

$$|\Delta_k(x)|^* \preceq 9\alpha^{k-2}\sum_{n\ge 3}\frac{x^n}{2^n}. \tag{9}$$

Consequently (P_{k-1}, P_k) is $(9\alpha^{k-3}, 2)$-regular at x_0 for any $k \ge 2$.

Proof. By the condition we have

$$|\Delta_{-1,n}| \le 1, \quad |\Delta_{0,n}| \le 1, \quad \forall n \ge 3.$$

By Proposition 2.3,

$$|\Delta_1(x)|^* \preceq 4\frac{x^3}{2^3} + 4\frac{x^4}{2^4} + 9\sum_{n\ge 5}\frac{x^n}{2^n}.$$

So for any $n \ge 3$,

$$|\Delta_{1,n}| \le \min\{1/2, 9/2^n\}.$$

For any $n \ge 3$, $\Delta_{0,n} \le 1$, $\Delta_{1,n} \le 1/2 < 1$, then by a same argument as above,

$$|\Delta_{2,n}| \le \min\{1/2, 9/2^n\}.$$

Continue this process, by Proposition 2.3 and induction, for any $k \geq 0$ and $n \geq 3$,

$$|\Delta_{2k+1,n}| \leq \min\{2^{-k-1}, (9 \times 2^{-k}) \times 2^{-n}\}$$
$$|\Delta_{2k+2,n}| \leq \min\{2^{-k-1}, (9 \times 2^{-k}) \times 2^{-n}\}.$$

Recall that $\alpha = \sqrt{2}/2$. Thus for any $n \geq 3$,

$$|\Delta_{k,n}| \leq 9\alpha^{k-2} \times 2^{-n},$$

which implies (9). □

Now we are ready for the proof of Theorem 2.1. At first we define the absolute constants mentioned in that theorem. Let $\tilde{C}_0 = 9/(4-\pi)$ and for any $m \geq 0$ define

$$\begin{cases} C_m & = \tilde{C}_m (2^{m-1}\pi)^3 \\ \tilde{C}_{m+1} & = \tilde{C}_m \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} + \frac{(4+C_m)^2}{64\alpha^2} \right). \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We prove it by induction on m.

At first consider $m = 0$. Fix $x \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. By Proposition 2.5, for $k \geq 1$,

$$|\Delta_k(x)| \leq 9\alpha^{k-2} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{|x|^n}{2^n} = \frac{9\alpha^{k-2}|x|^3}{8-4|x|} \leq \frac{9\alpha^k |x|^3}{4-\pi} = \tilde{C}_0 \alpha^k |x|^3 \leq C_0 \alpha^k.$$

Next we assume the result holds for $m < n$. Take $x \in [-2^{n-1}\pi, 2^{n-1}\pi]$, then $x/2, x/4 \in [-2^{n-2}\pi, 2^{n-2}\pi]$. For any $k \geq 2n+1$, we have $k-1, k-2 \geq 2n-1$. By (6) and induction,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_k(x)| &= |4\cos^2 \frac{x}{4} \cdot \Delta_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2}) + \\ &\quad \Delta_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4}) \Big(4\cos \frac{x}{4} + \Delta_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4}) \Big) \Big(2(\cos \frac{x}{2} - 1) + \Delta_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2}) \Big) | \\ &\leq 4|\Delta_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2})| + |\Delta_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4})|(4 + |\Delta_{k-2}(\frac{x}{4})|)(4 + |\Delta_{k-1}(\frac{x}{2})|) \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{n-1}\alpha^{k-1}}{2}|x|^3 + \frac{\tilde{C}_{n-1}\alpha^{k-2}}{64}|x|^3(4 + C_{n-1})^2 \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} + \frac{(4+C_{n-1})^2}{64\alpha^2} \right) \alpha^k |x|^3 \\ &= \tilde{C}_n \alpha^k |x|^3 \leq C_n \alpha^k. \end{aligned}$$

By induction the proof is finished. □

3. Generate new germs and control the distance of base points

In this section we prepare the proof of Theorem 1.1. Especially we will show that under some condition, new germs will appear and we can control the distance of the base points of the germs.

3.1. **Birth of new germs.**

In this subsection, we exhibit that how a regular germ of one pair can give birth to some new regular germs for the iterated pairs. At first we prove several preliminary results. Define $\delta_0 = 0.01$, $\delta_1 = 0.0005$ and $\delta_2 = 10^{-10}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Fix $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume φ is a polynomial satisfying*

$$|\varphi(x) - 2\cos x| \le \delta, \quad \forall x \in 2k\pi + [0, \pi] \ (\textit{resp. } 2k\pi + [-\pi, 0]).$$

We further assume x_+ (resp. x_-) is the minimal $x \in 2k\pi + [0, \pi]$ (rsp. maximal $x \in 2k\pi + [-\pi, 0]$) such that $\varphi(x) = 0$. Then

$$|x_+ - (2k\pi + \pi/2)| \le \delta \quad (\textit{resp. } |x_- - (2k\pi - \pi/2)| \le \delta).$$

Proof. We only prove the result for x_+ when $k = 0$ since the other cases can be proven similarly.

By the assumption we have

$$|2\cos x_+| = |\varphi(x_+) - 2\cos x_+| \le \delta.$$

On the other hand since $|\sin x| \ge 2|x|/\pi$ for $|x| \le \pi/2$ and $|2k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} - x_+| \le \frac{\pi}{2}$

$$|2\cos x_+| = 2|\sin(2k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} - x_+)| \ge \frac{4}{\pi}|x_+ - \frac{\pi}{2} - 2k\pi|.$$

This prove the lemma. □

Corollary 3.2. *Fix $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume φ is a polynomial such that for any $x \in 2^{1-m}k\pi + [0, 2^{-m}\pi]$ (resp. $2^{1-m}k\pi + [-2^{-m}\pi, 0]$)*

$$|\varphi(x) - 2\cos 2^m x| \le \delta.$$

We further assume x_+ (resp. x_-) is the minimal $x \in 2^{1-m}k\pi + [0, 2^{-m}\pi]$ (rsp. maximal $x \in 2^{1-m}k\pi + [-2^{-m}\pi, 0]$) such that $\varphi(x) = 0$. Then

$$|x_+ - 2^{-m}(2k\pi + \pi/2)| \le 2^{-m}\delta \quad (\textit{resp. } |x_- - 2^{-m}(2k\pi - \pi/2)| \le 2^{-m}\delta).$$

Proof. Define $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(2^m x)$, then apply Lemma 3.1, the result follows. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$. Assume φ, ψ are two polynomials satisfies*

$$|\varphi(x) - 2\cos x|, \quad |\psi(x) - 2\cos 8x| \le \delta \quad \forall x \in [0, \pi].$$

Let x^* be the minimal $x \in [0, \pi]$ such that $\varphi(x) = 0$ and x_* be the maximal $x \in (0, x^*)$ such that $\psi(x) = 0$. Then

$$|(x^* - x_*) - \pi/16| \le 2\delta.$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.2 we have

$$|x^* - \pi/2| \le \delta \qquad \text{and} \qquad |x_* - 7\pi/16| \le 2^{-3}\delta.$$

Thus the result follows. □

Proposition 3.4. *Take any $\delta \le \delta_1$. Assume polynomial pair (P_{-1}, P_0) has a $(\delta, 2)$-regular ρ-germ at x_0. Then there exist $y_{-1}^- < y_0^- < x_0 < y_0^+ < y_{-1}^+$ such that*

$$P_k(y_k^-) = P_k(y_k^+) = 0; \quad P_k(x) > 0 \quad (x \in I_k^- \cup I_k^+), \quad (k = -1, 0).$$

where $I_k^- = (y_k^-, x_0]$, $I_k^+ = [x_0, y_k^+)$. Moreover

$$\frac{|I_{-1}^+|}{|I_0^+|}, \quad \frac{|I_{-1}^-|}{|I_0^-|} \le 2.1.$$

Proof. For $k = -1, 0$, define $Q_k(x)$ as in (4). By the assumption (P_{-1}, P_0) is $(\delta, 2)$-regular at x_0, for $|x| < 2$,

$$|Q_{-1}(x) - 2\cos x|, \quad |Q_0(x) - 2\cos x| \le \frac{|x|^3}{8 - 4|x|}\delta.$$

Especially for $|x| \le 1.9$, we have

$$|Q_{-1}(x) - 2\cos x|, \quad |Q_0(x) - 2\cos x| \le \frac{1.9^3\delta}{8 - 4 \cdot 1.9} < 20\delta \le 0.01 = \delta_0.$$

Let t_0 be the minimal $t \in (0, 2)$ such that $Q_0(t) = 0$, t_{-1} be the minimal $t \in (0, 2)$ such that $Q_{-1}(t) = 0$. Then by Lemma 3.1,

$$|t_0 - \frac{\pi}{2}| \le \delta_0, \quad |t_{-1} - \frac{\pi}{2}| \le \delta_0,$$

Define

$$y_0^+ = \frac{t_0}{a} + x_0, \quad y_{-1}^+ = \frac{2t_{-1}}{a} + x_0,$$

we see $P_0(y_0^+) = P_{-1}(y_{-1}^+) = 0$ and

$$\frac{|I_{-1}^+|}{|I_0^+|} = \frac{|y_{-1}^+ - x_0|}{|y_0^+ - x_0|} = \frac{2t_{-1}}{t_0} \le \frac{2(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0.01)}{\frac{\pi}{2} - 0.01} < 2.1.$$

The proof of the other part of the proposition is analogous. □

Now we can state the main result in this subsection.

Proposition 3.5. *Take any $\delta \le \delta_2$. Assume (P_{-1}, P_0) is $(\delta, 2)$-regular at x_0 with renormalization factor a, define $P_n = P_{n-2}^2(P_{n-1} - 2) + 2$ for $n \ge 1$. Let y_0^+, y_0^- be defined as in Proposition 3.4, then (P_3, P_4) is $(1, 1)$-regular at both y_0^+ and y_0^-.*

Proof. Recall that we have defined

$$Q_k(x) = P_k(\frac{x}{2^k a} + x_0) =: 2\cos x + \Delta_k(x), \quad k = -1, 0. \tag{10}$$

Then

$$|\Delta_{-1}(x)|^* \preceq \delta \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{2^n}, \quad |\Delta_0(x)|^* \preceq \delta \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{2^n}.$$

Consequently for $x \in (0, 2)$ it is ready to show that

$$\begin{cases} |\Delta_0^{(n)}(x)| \\ |\Delta_{-1}^{(n)}(x)| \end{cases} \le \delta \left(\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{2^n} \right)^{(n)} = \frac{\delta}{4} \left(\frac{x^3}{2-x} \right)^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta}{4}\left(\frac{x^3}{2-x}\right) & n = 0 \\ -\frac{\delta(x+1)}{2} + \frac{2\delta}{(2-x)^2} & n = 1 \\ -\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{4\delta}{(2-x)^3} & n = 2 \\ \frac{2\delta n!}{(2-x)^{n+1}} & n \ge 3. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Let t_0 be the minimal $t \in (0, 2)$ such that $Q_0(t) = 0$. Then

$$P_0(t_0/a + x_0) = 0, \quad |t_0 - \frac{\pi}{2}| \le 0.01. \tag{12}$$

Consequently $y_0^+ = t_0/a + x_0$. We have

$$P_{-1}(y_0^+) = Q_{-1}(\frac{t_0}{2}) = 2\cos\frac{t_0}{2} + \Delta_{-1}(\frac{t_0}{2}).$$

By (11) and (12) we get $|P_{-1}(y_0^+) - \sqrt{2}| \le 0.03$. Since

$$P_1(y_0^+) = P_{-1}^2(y_0^+)(P_0(y_0^+) - 2) + 2,$$

we conclude that

$$|P_1(y_0^+) + 2| \le 0.2. \tag{13}$$

By (10) we have $P_0'(y_0^+) = aQ_0'(t_0) = a(-2\sin t_0 + \Delta_0'(t_0))$. By (11) and (12) we get

$$\left| \frac{P_0'(y_0^+)}{a} + 2 \right| \le 0.2. \tag{14}$$

By (2) and (13), (14), for $k = 3, 4$ we have

$$P_k(x) = 2 - (2^{k-3}\rho)^2(x - y_0^+)^2 + O((x - y_0^+)^3)$$

with

$$\rho = \sqrt{2 - P_1(y_0^+)}|P_0'(y_0^+)P_1(y_0^+)| \ge 6a.$$

For $k \geq 3$, if we define $\tilde{Q}_k(x) := P_k(\frac{x}{2^{k-3}\rho} + y_0^+)$, then

$$\tilde{Q}_k(x) = 2 - x^2 + O(x^3) = 2\cos x + O(x^3) =: 2\cos x + \bar{\Delta}_k(x). \tag{15}$$

We need to show that

$$|\bar{\Delta}_3(x)|^*, |\bar{\Delta}_4(x)|^* \preceq \sum_{n\geq 3} x^n.$$

For $k \geq -1$ define $\tilde{\Delta}_k(2^k x) := \Delta_k(2^k(x + t_0))$. Then

$$P_k(\frac{x}{a} + y_0^+) = P_k(\frac{x + t_0}{a} + x_0) =: 2\cos(2^k(x + t_0)) + \tilde{\Delta}_k(2^k x). \tag{16}$$

By the recurrence relation of P_k, it is ready to show that $(\tilde{\Delta}_k(x))_{k\geq -1}$ satisfies (7). We have

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Delta}_0(x) & = \Delta_0(x + t_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_0^{(n)}(t_0)}{n!} x^n \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{-1}(x) & = \Delta_{-1}(x + t_0/2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_{-1}^{(n)}(t_0/2)}{n!} x^n. \end{cases}$$

Write $\beta = 2 - \pi/2 - 0.01 = 0.419\cdots$ and $M_0 = 10$. By (11) and (12) we get

$$|\tilde{\Delta}_0(x)|^*, |\tilde{\Delta}_{-1}(x)|^* \preceq M_0\delta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\beta^n}.$$

Since $\delta \leq \delta_2 = 10^{-10}$, we have $152^3 M_0\delta < 1$. By Proposition 2.4

$$|\tilde{\Delta}_1(x)|^* \preceq 152 M_0\delta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n}.$$

Consequently we have

$$|\tilde{\Delta}_0(x)|^* \preceq 152 M_0\delta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\beta^n}, \quad |\tilde{\Delta}_1(x)|^* \preceq 152 M_0\delta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\beta^n}.$$

Again by Proposition 2.4 we get

$$|\tilde{\Delta}_2(x)|^* \preceq 152^2 M_0\delta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(2\beta)^n}.$$

Continue this process, since $152^3 M_0\delta < 1$ and $2\beta < 1$, for $k = 3, 4$ we get

$$|\tilde{\Delta}_k(x)|^* \preceq 152^k M_0\delta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(4\beta)^n}.$$

By these inequalities and (16), (8), we have, for $k = 3, 4$,

$$|P_k(\frac{x}{a} + y_0^+)|^* \preceq \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(2^k x)^n}{(4\beta)^n} \left(\frac{2(4\beta)^n}{n!} + 152^k M_0\delta \right).$$

Notice that $\tilde{Q}_k(x) = P_k(\frac{ax/2^{k-3}\rho}{a} + y_0^+)$ and $\rho \geq 6a$, we get

$$|\tilde{Q}_k(x)|^* \preceq \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(4x/3)^n}{(4\beta)^n} \left(\frac{2(4\beta)^n}{n!} + 152^k M_0 \delta \right).$$

Combine with (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{Q}_k(x) - 2 + x^2|^* &\preceq \sum_{n\geq 3} \frac{(4x/3)^n}{(4\beta)^n} \left(\frac{2(4\beta)^n}{n!} + 152^k M_0 \delta \right) \\ |\tilde{Q}_k(x) - 2\cos x|^* &\preceq \sum_{n\geq 3} \frac{(4x/3)^n}{(4\beta)^n} \left(\frac{2(4\beta)^n}{n!} + 152^k M_0 \delta \right) + \sum_{n\geq 4} \frac{2x^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by a direct computation, for $k = 3, 4$,

$$|\bar{\Delta}_k(x)|^* = |\tilde{Q}_k(x) - 2\cos x|^* \preceq \sum_{n\geq 3} x^n.$$

This prove the result for y_0^+. The proof for y_0^- is the same. □

3.2. **Control the distance between the base points of germs.**

In this subsection we will show that besides the birth of new germs, we can even control the distance between the base points of the old and new germs once we iterate sufficient long time.

At first we define a big absolute integer. Let $n_\alpha = 40$, then $9\alpha^{n_\alpha - 3} \leq \delta_1$. Define $\delta_3 := 30^{-n_\alpha}/4000$. Choose an absolute constant $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K \geq n_\alpha + 4, \quad 9\alpha^{K-7} < \delta_2, \quad C_6\alpha^{K-4} \leq \delta_3, \tag{17}$$

where C_6 is an absolute constant defined in Theorem 2.1.

We need one more lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Fix $N \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$. Assume $\varphi_0(x), \varphi_1(x)$ are two polynomials satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} |\varphi_0(x) - 2\cos 8x| \leq \delta & x \in [-\pi, \pi] \\ |\varphi_1(x) - 2\cos 16x| \leq \delta & x \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]. \end{cases}$$

Define $\varphi_n = \varphi_{n-2}^2(\varphi_{n-1} - 2) + 2$ for $n \geq 2$. Then for any $2 \leq n \leq N$ and $x \in [-\pi/2^n, \pi/2^n]$

$$|\varphi_n(x) - 2\cos 2^{n+3}x| \leq 30^{n-1}\delta. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Write $\varphi_n(x) = 2\cos 2^{n+3}x + \Delta_n(2^{n+3}x)$ for $n \geq 0$. It is equivalent to prove that for any $n \geq 2$

$$|\Delta_n(x)| \leq 30^{n-1}\delta \quad (\forall x \in [-8\pi, 8\pi]). \tag{19}$$

We prove it by induction. The condition implies that

$$|\Delta_0(x)|, |\Delta_1(x)| \leq \delta \quad (x \in [-8\pi, 8\pi]). \tag{20}$$

By the recurrence relation, similar with (6), for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_n(2^{n+3}x) &= (2+2\cos 2^{n+2}x)\cdot\Delta_{n-1}(2^{n+2}x)+\Delta_{n-2}(2^{n+1}x)\cdot \\ &\quad \Big(4\cos 2^{n+1}x+\Delta_{n-2}(2^{n+1}x)\Big)\Big(2\cos 2^{n+2}x-2+\Delta_{n-1}(2^{n+2}x)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

For any $x \in [-\pi/2^2, \pi/2^2]$, we have $2^3x, 2^4x \in [-4\pi, 4\pi]$. Thus by (20)

$$|\Delta_2(2^5x)| \leq 4\delta+\delta(4+\delta)^2 \leq 4\delta+25\delta < 30\delta,$$

i.e., (19) holds for $n = 2$.

Now assume (18) holds for $n < m \leq N$. For any $x \in [-\pi/2^m, \pi/2^m]$, we have $2^{m+1}x, 2^{m+2}x \in [-4\pi, 4\pi]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\Delta_m(2^{m+3}x)| &\leq 4|\Delta_{m-1}(2^{m+2}x)|+|\Delta_{m-2}(2^{m+1}x)|\cdot \\ &\quad (4+|\Delta_{m-2}(2^{m+1}x)|)(4+|\Delta_{m-1}(2^{m+2}x)|) \\ &\leq 4\cdot 30^{m-2}\delta+30^{m-3}\delta(4+30^{m-3}\delta)(4+30^{m-2}\delta) \\ &\leq 4\cdot 30^{m-2}\delta+25\cdot 30^{m-2}\delta \leq 30^{m-1}\delta.\end{aligned}$$

Thus (19) holds for $n = m$. This proves the lemma. □

Proposition 3.7. *Assume (P_{-1}, P_0) is $(1,1)$-regular at θ. Let $\theta^+ > \theta$ be the minimal zero of P_{K-4} in $[\theta, \infty)$. Then (P_{K-1}, P_K) is $(1,1)$-regular at θ^+. Moreover assume $\theta_+ \in (\theta, \theta^+)$ is the maximal zero of P_{2K-4}, then*

$$\frac{\theta^+ - \theta_+}{\theta^+ - \theta} \geq 2.1^{-K}. \tag{21}$$

Similarly let $\theta_- < \theta$ be the maximal zero of P_{K-4} in $[-\infty, \theta)$. Then (P_{K-1}, P_K) is $(1,1)$-regular at θ_-. Moreover assume $\theta^- \in (\theta_-, \theta)$ is the minimal zero of P_{2K-4}, then

$$\frac{\theta^- - \theta_-}{\theta - \theta_-} \geq 2.1^{-K}.$$

Proof. We only prove the first result, since the second one is the same.

Since (P_{-1}, P_0) is (1,1)-regular at θ, by Proposition 2.5, $(P_{K-5,K-4})$ is $(9\alpha^{K-7}, 2)$-regular at θ. By (17), $(P_{K-5,K-4})$ is $(\delta_2, 2)$-regular at θ. Then by Proposition 3.5, (P_{K-1}, P_K) is $(1,1)$-regular at θ^+.

For any $-1 \leq k \leq K-4$ define θ_k to be the largest y in $[\theta, \theta^+]$ such that $P_{K+k}(y) = 0$, then $\theta_{K-4} = \theta_+$. We have

$$\frac{\theta^+ - \theta_+}{\theta^+ - \theta} = \frac{\theta^+ - \theta_{-1}}{\theta^+ - \theta}\cdot\prod_{k=0}^{K-4}\frac{\theta^+ - \theta_k}{\theta^+ - \theta_{k-1}}$$

At first we estimate $(\theta^+ - \theta_{-1})/(\theta^+ - \theta)$.

Assume (P_{-1}, P_0) has renormalization factor a at θ. let $L_k(x) = \frac{x}{2^k a} + \theta$. By Theorem 2.1, for any $k \geq 13$ and any $x \in [-32\pi, 32\pi]$ we have

$$|P_k \circ L_k(x) - 2\cos x| \leq C_6 \alpha^k. \tag{22}$$

By (22) and (17), for $x \in [-4\pi, 4\pi]$,

$$|P_{K-4} \circ L_{K-4}(x) - 2\cos x| \leq \delta_3, \quad |P_{K-1} \circ L_{K-4}(x) - 2\cos 8x| \leq \delta_3.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.3,

$$|L_{K-4}^{-1}(\theta^+) - \pi/2| \leq \delta_3 \leq \delta_0, \quad |(L_{K-4}^{-1}(\theta^+) - L_{K-4}^{-1}(\theta_{-1})) - \pi/16| \leq 2\delta_3 \leq \delta_0. \tag{23}$$

Since $L_k^{-1} x = 2^k a(x - \theta)$ we conclude that

$$\frac{\theta^+ - \theta_{-1}}{\theta^+ - \theta} \geq 2.1^{-3}. \tag{24}$$

Next we we estimate $(\theta^+ - \theta_k)/(\theta^+ - \theta_{k-1})$ for $k = 0, \cdots, K-4$. We will discuss two cases. We have shown that (P_{K-1}, P_K) is $(1,1)$-regular at θ^+. By Proposition 2.5, (P_{K+k-1}, P_{K+k}) is $(9\alpha^{k-3}, 2)$-regular.

In the following, we prove $\frac{\theta^+ - \theta_k}{\theta^+ - \theta_{k-1}} \geq 2.1^{-1}$ in two cases:

$$n_\alpha \leq k \leq K-4 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq k < n_\alpha.$$

Case i: $n_\alpha \leq k \leq K-4$.

Recall that n_α is such that $9\alpha^{n_\alpha - 3} \leq \delta_1$. In this case (P_{K+k-1}, P_{K+k}) is $(\delta_1, 2)$-regular, then by Proposition 3.4,

$$\frac{\theta^+ - \theta_k}{\theta^+ - \theta_{k-1}} \geq 2.1^{-1}. \tag{25}$$

Case ii: $0 \leq k < n_\alpha$. By (22) and (17),

$$\begin{cases} |P_{K-1} \circ L_{K-4}(x) - 2\cos 8x| \leq \delta_3 & x \in [-4\pi, 4\pi] \\ |P_K \circ L_{K-4}(x) - 2\cos 16x| \leq \delta_3 & x \in [-2\pi, 2\pi]. \end{cases}$$

Let $x^* = L_{K-4}^{-1}(\theta^+)$, define $\xi_k(x) := P_{K+k-1} \circ L_{K-4}(x + x^*)$ for $k \geq 0$. For $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\xi_0(x) - 2\cos 8x| \\ = \; & |P_{K-1} \circ L_{K-4}(x + x^*) - 2\cos 8x| \\ \leq \; & |P_{K-1} \circ L_{K-4}(x + x^*) - 2\cos 8(x + x^*)| + |2\cos 8(x + x^*) - 2\cos 8x| \\ \leq \; & \delta_3 + 4|\sin 4x^*| = \delta_3 + 4|\sin 4(x^* - \frac{\pi}{2})| \leq 17\delta_3 \leq 30^{-n_\alpha}/100, \end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality is due to (23), and the last inequality is by definition of δ_3.

Similarly we have for $x \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\xi_1(x) - 2\cos 16x| \\ = \ & |P_K \circ L_{K-4}(x + x^*) - 2\cos 16x| \\ \leq \ & |P_K \circ L_{K-4}(x + x^*) - 2\cos 16(x + x^*)| + |2\cos 16(x + x^*) - 2\cos 16x| \\ \leq \ & \delta_3 + 4|\sin 8x^*| \leq \delta_3 + 4|\sin 8(x^* - \frac{\pi}{2})| \leq 33\delta_3 \leq 30^{-n_\alpha}/100. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.6, For any $2 \leq k \leq n_\alpha$ and $x \in [-\pi/2^k, \pi/2^k]$,

$$|\xi_k(x) - 2\cos 2^{k+3}x| \leq 30^{k-1} \cdot 30^{-n_\alpha}/100 \leq 1/100 = \delta_0.$$

Notice that for all $-1 \leq k < n_\alpha$, $L_{K-4}^{-1}(\theta_k) - x^* = 2^{K-4}a(\theta_k - \theta^+)$ is the largest zero point of ξ_{k+1} in $[-\frac{\pi}{2^{k+4}}, 0]$. Then by Corollary 3.2,

$$|2^{K-4}a(\theta_k - \theta^+) + \frac{\pi}{2^{k+5}}| \leq \frac{1}{100 \cdot 2^{k+4}} \leq \frac{1}{100}\frac{\pi}{2^{k+5}}.$$

Consequently, for any integer $0 \leq k < n_\alpha$,

$$\frac{\theta^+ - \theta_k}{\theta^+ - \theta_{k-1}} \geq 2.1^{-1}. \tag{26}$$

Combining (24),(25) and (26) we get (21). □

4. Lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of the spectrum

Now we go back to the spectrum of the Thue-Morse Hamiltonian. Recall that $\{h_n(x) : n \geq 1\}$ is the related trace polynomials and $h_1(x) = x^2 - \lambda^2 - 2$. Let $a_\emptyset = \sqrt{2 + \lambda^2}$, then $h_1(a_\emptyset) = 0$.

At first we will show that (h_4, h_5) is $(1, 1)$-regular at $a_\emptyset$, then by Proposition 3.7 we will construct a Cantor subset of the spectrum around $a_\emptyset$ and estimate the dimension of the Cantor set. Consequently we get a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of the spectrum.

4.1. (h_4, h_5) is $(1, 1)$-regular at $a_\emptyset$.

Recall that $h_2(x) = (x^2 - \lambda^2)^2 - 4x^2 + 2$, then $h_2(a_\emptyset) = -2 - 4\lambda^2$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} h_1(x) = h_1'(a_\emptyset)(x - a_\emptyset) + O((x - a_\emptyset)^2) = 2a_\emptyset(x - a_\emptyset) + O((x - a_\emptyset)^2) \\ h_2(x) = h_2(a_\emptyset) + O((x - a_\emptyset)) = -2(1 + 2\lambda^2) + O((x - a_\emptyset)). \end{cases}$$

Write $\rho := (1 + 2\lambda^2)\sqrt{(1 + \lambda^2)(2 + \lambda^2)}$. By using the recurrent relation we get

$$h_k(x) = 2 - 4^{k-1}\rho^2(x - a_\emptyset)^2 + O((x - a_\emptyset)^3) \ \ (k \geq 4).$$

Lemma 4.1. *(h_4, h_5) is $(1, 1)$-regular at $a_\emptyset$ with renormalization factor $2^4\rho$.*

Proof. Write $t := 2a_\emptyset$. Then $t \geq 2\sqrt{2}$. Define

$$g_n(x) = h_n(x + a_\emptyset),$$

we have

$$g_1(x) = x^2 + tx \qquad \text{and} \qquad g_2(x) = x^4 + 2tx^3 + t^2x^2 + 6 - t^2.$$

Then we can compute that for $n \geq 4$,

$$g_n(x) = 2 - 4^{n-4}t^2(t^2-6)^2(t^2-4)x^2 + O(x^3).$$

Write $\tau := t(t^2-6)\sqrt{t^2-4}$. Define $f_n(x) = g_n(x/\tau)$. Then for $n \geq 4$ we have

$$f_n(x) = 2 - 4^{n-4}x^2 + O(x^3).$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= t\tau^{-1}x + \tau^{-2}x^2 \\ f_2(x) &= (6-t^2) + (t/\tau)^2x^2 + (2t/\tau^3)x^3 + x^4/\tau^4 \\ f_3(x) &= 2 - x^2/(t^2-4) - O(x^3). \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that $t \geq 2\sqrt{2}$ and $\tau = t(t^2-6)\sqrt{t^2-4}$, it is direct to verify that

$$|f_1(x)|^* \preceq \frac{t}{\tau}xe^{x/32}, \quad |f_2(x)|^* \preceq (t^2-6)e^{x/4}, \quad |f_2(x)-2|^* \preceq (t^2-4)e^{x/4}.$$

Then, by $f_n = 2 + f_{n-2}^2(f_{n-1}-2)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &|f_3(x)|^* \preceq 2 + \tfrac{1}{(t^2-6)^2}x^2e^{5x/16} \\ &|f_4(x)|^* \preceq 2 + x^2e^{13x/16} \\ &|f_5(x)|^* \preceq 2 + 4x^2e^{13x/16} + 4\tfrac{x^4}{(t^2-6)^2}e^{18x/16} + \tfrac{x^6}{(t^2-6)^4}e^{23x/16}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is ready to verify that

$$|f_4(x) - 2\cos x|^* \preceq \sum_{n\geq 3} x^n, \quad |f_5(x/2) - 2\cos x|^* \preceq \sum_{n\geq 3} x^n.$$

This implies that (h_4, h_5) is $(1,1)$-regular at $a_\emptyset$ with renormalization factor $2\tau = 2^4\rho$. $\square$

4.2. **Lower bound of the spectrum.**

Now we will construct the desired Cantor set. To simplify the notation we write $P_k(x) := h_{k+5}(x)$ for $k \geq -1$. Then we have shown that (P_{-1}, P_0) is $(1,1)$-regular at $a_\emptyset$.

Fix an absolute constant $K \in \mathbb{N}$ according to (17). Assume $b_\emptyset > a_\emptyset$ is the first zero of P_{K-4} to the right of $a_\emptyset$. Define $I_\emptyset := [a_\emptyset, b_\emptyset]$. Assume b_0 is the smallest zero of P_{2K-4} in $I_\emptyset$ and a_1 is the biggest zero of P_{2K-4} in $I_\emptyset$. Define

$$I_0 := [a_\emptyset, b_0] = [a_0, b_0] \quad \text{and} \quad I_1 := [a_1, b_\emptyset] = [a_1, b_1].$$

Take any $w \in \{0,1\}^k$, suppose $I_w = [a_w, b_w]$ is defined. Assume b_{w0} is the smallest zero of $h_{(k+2)K-4}$ in I_w and assume a_{w1} is the biggest zero of $h_{(k+2)K-4}$ in I_w. Write $a_{w0} = a_w$, $b_{w1} = b_w$ and define

$$I_{w0} = [a_w, b_{w0}] = [a_{w0}, b_{w0}] \quad \text{and} \quad I_{w1} = [a_{w1}, b_w] = [a_{w1}, b_{w1}].$$

Define a Cantor set as

$$\mathcal{C} := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{|w|=n} I_w$$

Proposition 4.2.

$$\dim_H \mathcal{C} \geq \frac{\ln 2}{K \ln 2.1}.$$

Proof. Given $w \in \{0,1\}^k$. By induction it is easy to show that

$$\{P_{(k+1)K-4}(a_w), P_{(k+1)K-4}(b_w)\} = \{0, 2\}.$$

For definiteness let α_w be the endpoint of I_w such that $P_{(k+1)K-4}(\alpha_w) = 2$ and β_w be another endpoint of I_w, thus $P_{(k+1)K-4}(\beta_w) = 0$.

Claim: (P_{kK-1}, P_{kK}) is $(1,1)$-regular at α_w.

$\lhd$ We show it by induction on k. When $k = 0$, $w = \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.1, (P_{-1}, P_0) is $(1,1)$ regular at $\alpha_w = a_w = a_\emptyset$.

Assume the result holds for any $w \in \{0,1\}^l$ with $l < k$. Now fix $w \in \{0,1\}^k$, then $w = \bar{w}i$ with $\bar{w} \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}$ and $i \in \{0,1\}$. Then α_w must be one of the endpoints of $I_{\bar{w}}$ since $P_{(k+1)K-4}(\alpha_w) = 2$. By the induction assumption $(P_{(k-1)K-1}, P_{(k-1)K})$ is $(1,1)$-regular at $\alpha_{\bar{w}}$. Consequently (P_{kK-1}, P_{kK}) is $(9\alpha^{K-3}, 2)$-regular at $\alpha_{\bar{w}}$. By (17), (P_{kK-1}, P_{kK}) is $(1,1)$-regular at $\alpha_{\bar{w}}$. On the other hand by Proposition 3.7, we have (P_{kK-1}, P_{kK}) is $(1,1)$-regular at $\beta_{\bar{w}}$. Since $\alpha_w = \alpha_{\bar{w}}$ or $\beta_{\bar{w}}$, the result follows. $\rhd$

Now fix any $w \in \{0,1\}^k$, let us estimate $|Iwi|/|I_w|$ for $i = 0, 1$. Without loss of generality we assume $\alpha_w = a_w$ and $\beta_w = b_w$. By the claim above (P_{kK-1}, P_{kK}) is $(1,1)$-regular at a_w. By Proposition 2.5, (P_{kK+l-1}, P_{kK+l})

is $(9\alpha^{l-3}, 2)$-regular for any $l \geq 2$. By (17), we have $9\alpha^{l-3} < \delta_1$ for any $l \geq K-4$. Thus (P_{kK+l-1}, P_{kK+l}) is $(\delta_1, 2)$-regular for any $l \geq K-4$. Let y_l be the smallest zero of P_{kK+l} in $[a_w, \infty)$, then $y_{K-4} = b_w$ and $y_{2K-4} = b_{w0}$. by Proposition 3.4, for any $l \geq K-4$

$$\frac{y_{l+1} - a_w}{y_l - a_w} \geq 2.1^{-1}.$$

Consequently we have

$$\frac{|I_{w0}|}{|I_w|} = \frac{b_{w0} - a_w}{b_w - a_w} = \prod_{l=K-4}^{2K-5} \frac{y_{l+1} - a_w}{y_l - a_w} \geq 2.1^{-K}.$$

On the other hand, since (P_{kK-1}, P_{kK}) is $(1,1)$-regular at a_w, by Proposition 3.7, $(P_{(k+1)K-1}, P_{kK})$ is $(1,1)$-regular at b_w. Moreover since a_{w1} is the maximal zero of $P_{(k+2)K-4}$ in I_w,

$$\frac{|I_{w1}|}{|I_w|} = \frac{b_w - a_{w1}}{b_w - a_w} \geq 2.1^{-K}.$$

Now it is well known that (see for example [9])

$$\dim_H \mathcal{C} \geq \frac{\ln 2}{-\ln 2.1^{-K}} = \frac{\ln 2}{K \ln 2.1}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that Σ is defined in Remark 1.4, thus for any $w \in \{0,1\}^*$, $a_w, b_w \in \Sigma \subset \sigma(H_{\lambda,v})$. Since $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\{a_w, b_w : w \in \{0,1\}^*\}}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{C} \subset \sigma(H_{\lambda,v})$. Consequently

$$\dim_H \sigma(H_{\lambda,v}) \geq \dim_H \mathcal{C} \geq \frac{\ln 2}{K \ln 2.1}.$$

Since K is an absolute positive constant, the result follows. □

Acknowledgements. Liu and Qu are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 11371055. Qu is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 11201256.

References

[1] Axel, F., Peyrière, J.: Extended states in a chain with controlled disorder. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. II Mc. Phys. Chim. Sci. Univers Sci. Terre 306, 179-182 (1988)

[2] Axel, F., Peyrière, J.: Spectrum and extended states in a harmonic chain with controlled disorder: Effects of the Thue-Morse symmetry. J. Statist. Phys. 57, 1013-1047 (1989)

[3] Bellissard, J.: Spectral properties of Schröinger operator with a Thue-Morse potential. In: Number Theory and Physics (Les Houches, 1989), Springer Proc. Phys. 47, pp. 140-150. Springer, Berlin (1990)

[4] Bovier, A., Ghez, J. M.: Spectral properties of one-dimensional Schröinger operators with potentials generated by substitutions. Commun. Math. Phys. 158, 45-66 (1993)
[5] Cantat, S.: Bers and Hénon, Painlevé and Schrödinger. Duke Math. J. 149, 411-460 (2009)
[6] Damanik, D., Embree, M., Gorodetski, A., Tcheremchantsev, S.: The fractal dimension of the spectrum of the Fibonacci Hamiltonian. Commun. Math. Phys. 280, 499-516 (2008)
[7] Damanik, D., Gorodetski, A.: Hyperbolicity of the trace map for the weakly coupled Fibonacci Hamiltonian. Nonlinearity 22, 123-143 (2009)
[8] Damanik, D., Gorodetski, A.: Spectral and quantum dynamical properties of the weakly coupled Fibonacci Hamiltonian. Commun. Math. Phys. 305, 221-277 (2011)
[9] Falconer, K.: Fractal geometry. Mathematical foundations and applications. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester (1990)
[10] Jitomirskaya, S., Last, Y.: Power-law subordinacy and singular spectra. II. Line operators. Commun. Math. Phys. 211, 643-658 (2000)
[11] Lenz, D.: Uniform ergodic theorems on subshifts over a finite alphabet. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 22, 245-255 (2002)
[12] Liu, Q. H., Tan, B., Wen, Z. X., Wu, J.: Measure zero spectrum of a class of Schrödinger operators. J. Statist. Phys. 106, 681-691 (2002)
[13] Liu, Q. H., Wen, Z. Y.: Hausdorff dimension of spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with Sturmian potentials. Potential Analysis 20, 33-59 (2004)
[14] Raymond, L.: A constructive gap labelling for the discrete schrödinger operater on a quasiperiodic chain. (Preprint,1997)

(Q.H. LIU) Dept. Computer Sci., Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, PR China.

E-mail address: qhliu@bit.edu.cn

(Y.H. QU) Dept. Math., Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, PR China.

E-mail address: yhqu@math.tsinghua.edu.cn